To: Engineering Services Division, Bureau of Air Quality
South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control
2600 Bull Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Air Quality Local District Environmental Quality Control Office 2475 DHEC Road Lancaster, SC 29720

Re: Permit TV-2440-0005

York County

AbitibiBowater Inc. – Catawba Operations

Equipment ID 2723 (Lime Kiln)

AbitibiBowater plans to make the following change(s) pursuant to South Carolina Regulation 61-62.70.7(e)(2).

1. Brief description of change

Modifications to the lime kiln to increase throughput and reduce the amount of purchased makeup lime required. See attached construction permit application for additional details.

2. The emissions resulting from the change

The emissions increases associated with the proposed change are as follows:

| Pollutant | Actual-to-Projected Actual (tpy) | Actual-to-Potential (tpy) | PSD/NSR Threshold (tpy) |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| TSP | 1.1 | 4.7 | 25 |
| PM_{10} | 1.0 | 4.2 | 15 |
| PM _{2,5} | 0.8 | 3.2 | 10 |
| SO_2 | 1.2 | 5.0 | 40 |
| NO _X | 7.7 | 32.1 | 40 |
| CO | 0.5 | 1.9 | 100 |
| VOC | 0.2 | 0.8 | 40 |
| TRS/H ₂ S | 0.2 | 0.8 | 10 |

See attached construction permit application for additional details.

3. Any new applicable requirements that will apply if the change occurs

There are no new applicable requirements as a result of the proposed change. The lime kiln is currently subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart BB and 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart S. The emission increases from the proposed change are not subject to new source review regulations in South Carolina Standards No. 7 and No. 7.1. See attachment for additional discussion.

The proposed change does not require changing any current permit terms or conditions, including emission limits. The proposed project does not increase production of any emission unit above the current capacity. Therefore, no changes to the Title V permit or application forms are necessary.

The proposed change(s) at this facility meets the criteria for use of minor permit modification procedures and I request that such procedures be used. The modification does not violate any applicable requirement. The change is not a modification under Title I of the federal act. This is also a request for a construction permit.

Based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in this document are true, accurate, and complete.

Sincerely,

Mike Forrest Vice President and General Manager July 16, 2010

1.0 Facility Overview

AbitibiBowater Inc. – Catawba Operations (AbitibiBowater) operates an integrated pulp and paper mill located in Catawba, York County, South Carolina. The facility consists of a bleached kraft pulp mill and a thermo-mechanical pulp mill. The facility manufactures coated paper and market pulp.

2.0 Project Description

The lime kiln optimization project includes making several modifications to the lime kiln to optimize kiln operation and increase the efficiency of converting lime mud (CaCO₃) into lime product (CaO) when firing natural gas, and reduce purchased make-up lime usage at the facility. The modifications include changes to the burner management system to allow co-firing of natural gas and no. 6 fuel oil and adding an oxygen enrichment system.

The change in the burner management system does not involve any physical changes to the low- NO_X burner installed in 2003. The only changes involve the control logic (software) to allow cofiring both natural gas and no. 6 fuel oil. The oxygen enrichment system will include installing an oxygen lance just above the lime bed at the dry end of the kiln, an oxygen storage tank, two oxygen mixers (which alternate service to allow thawing of ice build-up), and the associated piping.

These changes are not expected to increase kraft pulp production. Historically, the mill purchases fresh makeup lime to support the kraft pulp mill. This project is expected to increase lime kiln production to approximately 440 tons per day. This is below the current 465 ton per day capacity of the lime kiln, and will reduce the amount of purchased lime.

3.0 Applicable Regulations

3.1 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart BB (Standards of Performance for Kraft Pulp Mills)

The No. 2 lime kiln was constructed in 1994 and is currently subject to NSPS Subpart BB. The NSPS limits for particulate matter are 0.067 gr/dscf when using gaseous fossil fuel and 0.13 gr/dscf when using liquid fossil fuel. The TRS limit is 8 ppm. The No. 2 lime kiln currently meets all emission limits.

3.2 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart MM (National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from the Pulp and Paper Industry)

The No. 2 lime kiln is regulated by 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart MM, which is the portion of the Cluster Rule regulating emissions from kraft mill chemical recovery operations. The MACT regulates emissions of PM_{HAP}. The MACT floor control for a lime kiln is an ESP or a wet scrubber. The No. 2 lime kiln has an ESP for particulate control.

The modifications to the No. 2 lime kiln have an estimated capital cost of approximately fifty-thousand dollars. The No. 2 lime kiln had a capital cost in excess of eighteen million dollars

when built in 1994. Therefore, the modifications are not a reconstruction as defined in 63.2, and the MACT standards for existing sources will apply to the No. 2 lime kiln following the modification.

The MACT standard for existing lime kilns is 0.064 gr/dscf, which the No. 2 lime kiln currently meets. The MACT does not establish gaseous HAP standards for existing lime kilns.

3.3 South Carolina Regulation 62.5, Standard No. 7 (Prevention of Significant Deterioration) and Standard No. 7.1 (Non-Attainment New Source Review)

AbitibiBowater is considered a major stationary source under New Source Review (NSR) since it emits or has the potential to emit 100 tons per year or more of a regulated NSR pollutant as defined in SC Reg. 61-62.5, Standard No. 7. The mill is located in the Charlotte-Gastonia-Rock Hill 8-hour ozone nonattainment area, and is subject to nonattainment NSR permitting requirements in SC Reg. 61-62.5, Standard No. 7.1 for the pollutants NO_X and VOC.

The proposed project is not considered major modification if it will not cause a "significant emissions increase" of a regulated pollutant as defined in Standards No. 7 and No. 7.1.

The emission increases for the proposed project were calculated based on the actual-to-projected-actual applicability test outlined in 61-62.5, 7(a)(2)(c). In this test, a significant emissions increase is projected to occur if the sum of the difference between the projected actual emissions and the baseline actual emissions for each existing emissions unit equals or exceeds the significant amount for that pollutant. As allowed under the regulations, the emissions that the source could have accommodated prior to the proposed changes were excluded from the significant emission increase calculation.

The following formulae may be used for calculating the significant emission increase:

$$SEI = PAE - BAE - (CHAE - BAE)$$

where: SEI = significant emission increase

PAE = projected actual emissions

BAE = baseline actual emissions

CHAE = could have accommodated emissions

The emissions increase from the project was evaluated in the traditional actual-to-potential applicability test. As indicated by the significant emissions increase presented in Table 1, based on the calculations presented in Section 4, the proposed project is not a major modification using either applicability test.

Table 1 NSR Applicability Evaluation

| | | VOC | со | NO _X | SO ₂ | TSP | PM ₁₀ | PM ₂₋₅ | TRS (H ₂ S) |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| | | emissions | emissions | emissions | emissions | emissions | emissions | emissions | emissions |
| Emission Unit | Basis | tpy | tpy | tpy | tpy | tpy | tpy | tpy | tpy |
| Lime Kiln | actual | 3.69 | 8.92 | 147.80 | 23.01 | 21.82 | 19,17 | 14.71 | 3.49 |
| Lime Kiln | accom. | 4.06 | 9.81 | 162.50 | 25.29 | 23.99 | 21.08 | 16.17 | 3.83 |
| Lime Kiln | projected | 4.26 | 10.28 | 170.24 | 26.50 | 25.13 | 22.08 | 16.94 | 4.02 |
| Lime Kiln | potential | 4.50 | 10.86 | 179.91 | 28.00 | 26.56 | 23.34 | 17.91 | 4.24 |
| ACTUAL-to-PROJECTE | D ACTUAL | 0.2 | 0.5 | 7.7 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| ACTUAL-to-POTENTIAL | | 0.8 | 1.9 | 32.1 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| NSR Threshold | | 40 | 100 | 40 | 40 | 25 | 15 | 10 | 10 |

3.4 NO_X Emissions

The lime kiln currently operates with a low- NO_X burner installed in 2003. The BACT emission limit is 152 ppm.

The NO_X emissions from lime kilns are dependant on the fuels fired and the operating conditions within the kiln. When firing natural gas, NO_X formation in lime kilns is dominated by thermal NO_X , which is formed at high combustion temperatures. When firing fuel oil, NO_X formation is dominated by fuel NO_X , which is dependant on the nitrogen content of the fuel oil.

The change in burner management software to allow co-firing of fuel oil with the natural gas will allow greater control of kiln operation. Source testing of the lime kiln demonstrates that NO_X emissions are approximately thirty percent lower when fuel oil is fired, and CaO production is approximately 15% higher. This is due to the higher radiant heat transfer from the no. 6 oil, which burns hotter than natural gas, and transfers more thermal energy into the lime bed and leaves less thermal energy in the kiln gases to form NO_X .

| Date | Fuel | NO _X (ppm @ 10% O ₂) | CaO Production (tons/day) |
|-------------|-------------|--|---------------------------|
| August 2004 | No. 6 Oil | 76 | 400 |
| August 2005 | No. 6 Oil | 107 | 468 |
| July 2007 | No. 6 Oil | 69 | 444 |
| Average | No. 6 Oil | 84 | 438 |
| August 2008 | Natural Gas | 101 | 370 |
| July 2009 | Natural Gas | 105 | 355 |
| March 2010 | Natural Gas | 120 | 390 |
| Average | Natural Gas | 109 | 372 |

The oxygen enrichment lance will be installed between the main burner in the center of the kiln and the lime bed across the bottom of the kiln. This will increase the temperature immediately above the lime bed at the dry end of the kiln, increasing the radiant heat transfer into the lime bed and enhancing the lime mud conversion efficiency. The NO_X emissions when natural gas is

being fired may increase if the stack oxygen content and stack temperature increase. The oxygen enrichment system may displace combustion air, lowering the amount of atmospheric nitrogen in the kiln available to form thermal NO_X when natural gas is being fired.

3.5 South Carolina Regulation 62.70 (Title V)

AbitibiBowater is a Title V facility that is currently operating under permit number TV-2440-0005. The proposed changes to the AbitibiBowater facility qualify for processing under the minor modification procedures found in SC Regulation 61-62.70.7(e)(2). The future projected production rate and emissions are below all existing permit conditions and emission limits, therefore no existing permit conditions require any changes.

The lime kiln was included in the air dispersion modeling submitted in support of the 2005 Fiberline Optimization at a maximum production rate of 600 tons CaO per day. The proposed modifications to the lime kiln were not completed, and the emissions at the existing permitted production rate of 465 tons CaO per day are well below the emission rates demonstrating compliance with the NAAQS and PSD Air Quality Increments in the 2005 air dispersion modeling analysis listed in Attachment A of the Title V Operating Permit.

3.6 South Carolina Regulation 62.5, Standard No. 5.1 (State LAER)

The project is not subject to the South Carolina Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (LAER) regulation since VOC emissions increase will not exceed 100 tons since the baseline date (see Appendix D).

3.7 South Carolina Regulation 62.5, Standard No. 5.2 (NO_X Control)

The project is not subject to the South Carolina NO_X Control regulation since the lime kiln NO_X emissions are currently subject to Standard No. 7, which is more restrictive.

3.8 South Carolina Regulation 62.5, Standard No. 8 (Air Toxics)

The lime kiln HAP emissions are regulated by MACT Subpart MM and are exempt from requirements in SC Standard No. 8, Toxic Air Pollutants.

4.0 Emission Calculations

The baseline actual emissions, projected actual emissions, the emissions each source could have accommodated, and the potential emissions were calculated using the production rates and emission factors described below.

4.1 Emission Factors

Emission factors from the National Council for Air and Stream Improvement (NCASI) were used to estimate emissions for all NSR pollutants, except where more representative site-specific emission factors were available. Emission factors and emission estimates for hazardous air pollutants can be found in Appendix A. Emission factors with the appropriate reference for each source are presented in Table 2.

Table 2
Emission Factors

| Pollutant | Emission Factor (lb/ton) | Reference |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| TSP | 0.313 | Site Specific – Dec. 5, 2005 Submittal |
| PM ₁₀ | 0.275 | Site Specific – Adjusted for filterable PM ₁₀ (69.6% of filt. TSP) |
| PM _{2.5} | 0.211 | Site Specific – Adjusted for filterable PM _{2.5} (18.6% of filt. TSP) |
| SO_2 | 0.018 | Site Specific – Dec. 5, 2005 Submittal |
| NO _X | 2.12 | Site Specific – Dec. 5, 2005 Submittal |
| CO | 0.128 | Site Specific – Dec. 5, 2005 Submittal |
| VOC | 0.023 | NCASI TB 884 |
| TRS/H ₂ S | 0.047 | NCASI TB 884 |

The volatile organic compound (VOC) emission factors were adjusted from a carbon basis to "total VOC" following the same protocols used for the Title V Operating Permit Application. The VOC "as carbon" and the adjusted "total VOC" emission factors for each source are presented in Appendix B.

4.2 Production Rates

The production rates are based on the actual monthly average production, as shown in Appendix C. The baseline actual production is based on the 24-month average monthly production during the baseline period. The highest monthly average during the 24-month baseline period is the production that could have accommodated prior to the proposed changes.

The projected actual production is the expected production following the proposed changes. The projected actual production is approximately 440 tons per day, and the lime kiln has previously accommodated 420 tons per day. The design capacity of the lime kiln is 465 tons per day, which is the potential production.

A summary of the production rates are presented in Table 3.

Table 3
Lime Kiln Production Rates

| Emission | Title V Equipment | Production Unit | Base Produ | eline ection | | nodated uction | | d Actual action | Pote Produ | ntial uction |
|-----------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|-------------------|----------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Unit | ID | Onit | tons/day | tons/yr | tons/day | tons/yr | tons/day | tons/yr | tons/day | tons/yr |
| Lime Kiln | 2723 | CaO | 382 | 139,430 | 420 | 153,300 | 440 | 160,600 | 465 | 169,725 |

Notes: CaO = calcium oxide

4.3 Baseline Actual Emissions (BAE)

Baseline actual emissions are based on the average rate at which the emissions units actually emitted the NSR pollutant over any consecutive 24-months during the past ten years (120 consecutive months) as defined in 61-62.5, 7(b)(4)(ii). This period corresponds to June 2006 through May 2008. The baseline actual emissions during this 24-month period shown in Table 4 are the product of the emission factor from Table 2 and the baseline production from Table 3.

Table 4
Baseline Actual Emissions

| | | Pr | oduction | VOC (a | as VOC) | | 00 | N | Ox | S | O ₂ | Т | SP | PI | VI ₁₀ : | PI | VI _{2.5} | TRS (| as H ₂ S) |
|-----------|--------|--------|-------------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|----------------|--------|-----------|--------|--------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|----------------------|
| Emission | | | | factor | emissions | factor | emissions | factor | emissions | factor | emissions | factor | emissions | factor | emissions | factor | emissions | factor | emissions |
| Unit | Basis | amount | uinits | lb/ton | tpy | lb/ton | lpy | lb/ton | toy | lb/ton | tpy | lb/ton | tpy | lb/ton | toy | lb/ton | tpy | lb/ton | tpy |
| Lime Kiln | actual | 382.0 | ton CaO/day | 0.053 | 3 69 | 0.128 | 8 92 | 2 12 | 147 80 | 0.33 | 23.01 | 0.313 | 21.82 | 0.275 | 19.17 | 0.211 | 14.71 | 0.05 | 3 49 |

4.4 Could Have Accommodated Emissions (CHAE)

The could have accommodated emissions are based on the highest monthly average production during the 24-month baseline period and represent the operating rate the source was capable of achieving without consideration of the proposed modifications, as defined in 61-62.5, 7(b)(41)(ii)(c). The could have accommodated emissions shown in Table 6 are the product of the emission factor from Table 2 and the accommodated production from Table 3.

Table 5
Could Have Accommodated Emissions

| | | Pr | raduction | VOC (| as VOC) | | 00 | N | O _X | S | Oz | Т | SP | Pl | VI ₁₀ | PI | N _{2.5} | TRS (| as H₂S) |
|-----------|-------|--------|-------------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|----------------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|------------------|--------|------------------|--------|-----------|
| Emission | | | | factor | emissions | factor | emissions | factor | emissions | factor | emissions | factor | emissions | factor | emissions | factor | emissions | factor | emissions |
| Unit | Basis | amount | uinits | lb/ton | lpy | lb/ton | tpy | lb/ton | tpy | lb/ton | toy | lb/ton | tpy | lb/ton | lpy | lb/ton | toy | lb/ton | tpy |
| Lime Kiln | accom | 420.0 | ton CaO/day | 0.053 | 4.06 | 0 128 | 9.81 | 2 12 | 162 50 | 0.33 | 25 29 | 0.313 | 23.99 | 0.275 | 21 08 | 0.211 | 16 17 | 0.05 | 3 83 |

4.5 Projected Actual Emissions (PAE)

The projected actual emissions are based on the projected production rates following the proposed changes as defined in 61-62.5, 7(b)(41)(i). The projected actual emissions shown in

Table 5 are the product of the emission factor from Table 2 and the projected production from Table 3.

Table 6
Projected Actual Emissions

| | | Pr | oduction | VOC (a | s VOC) | C | 0 | N- | Ox | S | 0, | T | SP | PI | VI ₁₀ | PI | A _{2.5} | TRS (| as H ₂ S) |
|-----------|-----------|--------|-------------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|---------|------------------|--------|------------------|--------|----------------------|
| Emission | 1 1 | | | factor | emissions | factor | emissions | factor | emissions | factor | emissions |
| Unit | Basis | amount | uinits | lb/ton | tpy | lb/ton | lpy | lb/ton | tpy | lb/ton | tpy | lb/ton | tpy | lb/ton_ | toy | lb/ton | tov | ľb/ton | tny |
| Lime Kiln | projected | 440.0 | ton CaO/day | 0.053 | 4.26 | 0 128 | 10.28 | 2 12 | 170.24 | 0.33 | 26.50 | 0.313 | 25 13 | 0.275 | 22 08 | 0.211 | 16.94 | 0.05 | 4.02 |

4.6 Potential-to-Emit Emissions (PTE)

The potential emissions are based on the design capacity of the lime kiln, as defined in 61-62.5,7(b)(37). The potential emissions shown in Table 7 are the product of the emission factor from Table 2 and the accommodated production from Table 3.

Table 7
Potential Emissions

| ſ | | | Pr | oduction | VOC (a | s VOC) | С | 0 | N | Ox | S | 02 | TS | SP | PI | M _{το} | P) | VI _{2.5} | TRS (a | as H₂S) |
|---|-----------|-----------|--------|-------------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------|-------------------|--------|-----------|
| 1 | Emission | | | | factor | emissions | factor | emissions | factor | emissions |
| 1 | Unit | Basis | amount | uinits | lb/ton | lpy | lb/ton | tpy | lb/ton | tpy | lb/ton | tpy | lb/ton | toy | lb/ton | tpy | - lb/ton | tpy | lb/ton | tpy |
| 1 | Lıme Kiln | potential | 465.0 | ton CaO/day | 0.053 | 4.50 | 0.128 | 10.86 | 2 12 | 179.91 | 0_33 | 28.00 | 0.313 | 26.56 | 0.275 | 23:34 | 0.211 | 17 91 | 0.05 | 4.24 |

Appendix A **HAP Emission Factors**

| PROCESS EMISSION SOURCE | PRODUCTION | BASELINE | ACCOM | PROJECTED | POTENTIAL |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | UNITS | PRODUCTION | PRODUCTION | PRODUCTION | PRODUCTION |
| Lime Kiln 2 | Ton CaO/Day | 382 | 420 | 440 | 465 |

| | | | | PROCESS | BASELINE | BASELINE | ACCOM | ACCOM | PROJECTED | PROJECTED | POTENTIAL | POTENTIAL |
|--|----------------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | EMISSION | FACTOR INF | ORMATION | VARIABILITY | EMISSIONS |
| POLLUTANT | FACTOR | UNITS | REFERENCE | FACTOR | (lb/hr) | (tons/yr) | (lb/hr) | (tans/yr) | (lb/hr) | (tons/yr) | (lb/hr) | (tons/yr) |
| Particulate matter | 3.13E-01 | #/T CaO | Н | - 1 | 4,98 | 21.82 | 5,48 | 23,99 | 5.74 | 25,13 | 6,06 | 26,56 |
| Particulate matter < 10 microns | 2,75E-01 | #/T CaO | G | 1 | 4,38 | 19.17 | 4.81 | 21.08 | 5.04 | 22.08 | 5,33 | 23.34 |
| Particulate matter < 2.5 microns | 2.11E-01 | #/T CaO | G | 1 | 3.36 | 14.73 | 3.70 | 16.19 | 3.87 | 16.96 | 4.09 | 17,93 |
| Sulfur dioxide | 3.30E-01 | #/T CaO | н | 1 | 5,25 | 23.01 | 5.78 | 25.29 | 6.05 | 26.50 | 6.39 | 28.00 |
| Volatile organic compounds (as carbon) | 2.30E-02 | #/T CaO | н | 1 | 0.37 | 1,60 | 0,40 | 1.76 | 0.42 | 1.85 | 0.45 | 1.95 |
| Volatile organic compounds (as VOC) | 5,30E-02 | #/T CaO | 1 | 1 | 0,84 | 3.69 | 0.93 | 4,06 | 0.97 | 4,26 | 1.03 | 4,50 |
| Carbon monoxide | 1.28E-01 | #/T CaO | Н | 1 | 2.04 | 8,92 | 2.24 | 9.81 | 2.35 | 10,28 | 2.48 | 10,86 |
| Lead | 1.50E-05 | #/T CaO | В | 1 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 |
| Nitrogen oxides | 2_12E+00 | #/T CaO | H | 1 | 33.74 | 147,80 | 37,10 | 162.50 | 38.87 | 170,24 | 41.08 | 179,91 |
| Sulfuric acid mist | 6.80E-07 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0.00 |
| Hydrogen Sulfide | 5.0E-02 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | 0.80 | 3,49 | 0.88 | 3.83 | 0.92 | 4.02 | 0.97 | 4.24 |
| Total Reduced Sulfur (as TRS) | 5.0E-02 | #/T CaO | С | 1 | 0,80 | 3.49 | 0.88 | 3,83 | 0.92 | 4.02 | 0.97 | 4,25 |
| Total Reduced Sulfur (as Sulfur) | 4.7E-02 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | 0,75 | 3.28 | 0.82 | 3,60 | 0.86 | 3,77 | 0.91 | 3.99 |
| Total Reduced Sulfur (as Hydrogen Sulfide) | 5.0E-02 | #/T CaO | D | 1 | 0.79 | 3.48 | 0.87 | 3,83 | 0,92 | 4.01 | 0.97 | 4.24 |
| Total readous canal (as rival agent canals) | | | | | | | | (c | | | | |
| Total 112(b) Hazardous Air Poliutants | | | | | 1,35 | 5.92 | 1,49 | 6,51 | 1.56 | 6,82 | 1,65 | 7,21 |
| 1.1.1-Trichloroethane | 8.00E-05 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0,01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 1.1.2-Trichloroethane | 3.80E-04 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | | 0.03 | 0,01 | 0.03 | 1 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| 1,2-Trichloroethane | 3.70E-04 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0,03 | 0.01 | 0,03 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 1,30E-04 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | | 0.01 | 0,00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | |
| Acetaldehyde | 9.60E-04 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.07 | | 0.08 | | |
| Acetaldenyde Acetophenone | 5.50E-03 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | | 0.38 | 0.10 | 0.42 | | 0,44 | | |
| - Chief and the control of the contr | 2,10E-05 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | |
| Acrolein | 1.50E-06 | #/T CaO | B | 1,20 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | |
| Antimony Compounds | 5.40E-07 | #/T CaO | В | 1.32 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | |
| Arsenic Compounds | 3.30E-04 | #/T CaO | A | 1,32 | | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | | 0.03 | | |
| Benzene | | #/T CaO | B | 2.78 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | |
| Beryllium Compounds | 2,60E-06 1,60E-04 | #/T CaO | | | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | | 0.01 | 0.00 | |
| Bromomethane | | | A | 1 14 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | |
| Cadmium Compounds | 1.10E-05 | #/T CaO | В | | | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | | 0.02 | | 0.02 |
| Carbon disulfide | 2.90E-04 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.11 | | 0,12 | | |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 1.50E-03 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | | | 0.03 | 0.30 | | 0,31 | -1 | |
| Carbonyl sulfide | 3_90E-03 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | | 0.27 | 0.07 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | |
| Chlorobenzene | 1_10E-05 | #/T CaO | A | 1 3 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | 0.00 | | |
| Chloroform | 3.60E-06 | #/T CaO | A | | 0100 | | | | | 0.14 | | |
| Chloromethane | 1.70E-03 | #/T CaO | A | | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0,03 | | | 0.00 | | |
| Chromium Compounds | 3,30E-05 | #/T CaO | В | 1,31 | | 0,00 | 0.00 | | | 0.00 | | |
| Cobalt Compounds | 7.70E-06 | #/T CaO | В | 1.27 | | | | | | | | |
| Cumene | 5.50E-03 | #/T CaO | A | | | 0,38 | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 3,00E-03 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | | 0,21 | | | | | | |
| Formaldehyde | 1.50E-03 | #/T CaO | A | 1 1 | 1 | 0.10 | | | | | | |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 1.20E-02 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | 0.19 | 0.84 | | | | | | |
| Hexachloroethane | 1,00E-02 | #/T CaO | A. | 1 | | 0.70 | | | | | | |
| Hydrochloric acid | 2 10E-04 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0,00 | | | | | |
| Lead Compounds | 1.50E-05 | #/T CaO | В | 1.08 | | | | | | | | |
| Manganese Compounds | 3.30E-05 | #/T CaO | В | 1.29 | | | | | | | | |
| m-Cresol | 9.50E-03 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury Compounds | 3.00E-08 | #/T CaO | В | 1.08 | | | | | | | | |
| Methanol | 8.00E-03 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Methyl ethyl ketone | 4_30E-05 | #/T CaO | A | | 0,00 | | | | | | | |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 2,00E-04 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Methylene chloride | 4_20E-06 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | 4111 | | | | | | | |
| m-Xylana | 6.80E-06 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Nachthalene | 1.30E-02 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| n-Hexane | 2.10E-05 | #/T CaO | A | 1 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| Nickel Compounds | 4_10E-05 | #/T CaO | В | 1.27 | | | | | | | | |
| o-Cresol | 4 70E-03 | #/T CaO | A | 31 | | | | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | 2.10E-04 | #/T CaO | A | | | | | | | | | |
| Phenol | 2.70E-04 | #/T CaO | A | | 0.00 | | | | | | | |
| Phosphorus | 5.00E-04 | #/T CaO | В | | 0.01 | | | | | | | |
| p-Xylene | 6.80E-06 | #/T CaO | A | | | | | | | | | |
| Selenium Compounds | 2.80E-07 | #/T CaO | В | 1.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | | | |
| Styrene | 5.70E-05 | #/T CaO | A | | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 2.60E-04 | #/T CaO | A | | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | | | | | |
| Toluene | 1.50E-05 | #/T CaO | A | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | | |
| Trichloroethylene | 3.70E-04 | #/T CaO | A | | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | | | | | |
| Vinyl acetate | 8.00E-05 | | A | | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 1 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 |

- A) Emission factors from NCASI Technical Bulletin 858, Table 16A.
- B) Emission factors from NCASI Technical Bulletin 858, Table 16B
- C) Sum of dimethyl disulfide, dimethyl sulfide, hydrogen sulfide, and methyl mercaptan emissions.

 D) Assumed TRS (as S) converted to H2S based on molecular weight.

 E) Emission factor from NCASI Technical Bulletin 884, Table 4.13 Oil-fired Lime Kiln with ESP.

- E) Emission factor from NCASI Technical Bulletin 884, Table 4.13 Uli-rifed Lime Nim Wint ESP.

 F) Emission factors from NCASI Technical Bulletin 884, Table 4.13 (filterable + condensable) Oil-fired Lime kiln with ESP.

 G) Precentage of total particulate matter from NCASI Technical Bulletin 884, Table 4.13 Oil-fired Lime Kiln with ESP PM10 (69.6%), PM2.5 (18.6%).
- H) Emission factor from December 8, 2005 letter to DHEC.
- I) Emission factor adjusted from VOC as carbon to total VOC based on molecular weight of predominate VOC species.

Emission factor of zero (0 00E+00) indicates pollutant was tested for and not detected above quantitation limit

Total reduced sulfur emission are the sum of emissions of hydrogen sulfide, methyl mercaptan, dimethyl sulfide, and dimethyl disulfide.

Process variability factors for metal compounds assume conversion of elemental metal to lowest state metal oxide, except HF

Appendix A-1 July 2010

Appendix B Total VOC Emission Factors

Lime Kiln

| Lime Kiiii | | | | F | TB 858 | | TB 884 | Adjusted |
|---------------------------|---------|-----------|--------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | l | | D | | Davaget | | |
| | | Molecular | Carbon | Ratio of | Emission | Percent | voc | Emission |
| Significant VOC Chemicals | Formula | Weight | Weight | Total to C | Factor | of Total | Factor | Factor |
| cumene | C9H12 | 120 | 108 | 1.11 | 5.5E-03 | 4.7% | 2.30E-02 | -1.2E-03 |
| m-cresol | C7H8O | 108 | 84 | 1.29 | 9.5E-03 | 8.1% | 2.30E-02 | 2.4E-03 |
| o-cresol | C7H8O | 108 | 84 | 1.29 | 4.7E-03 | 4.0% | 2.30E-02 | 1.2E-03 |
| ethyl benzene | C8H10 | 106 | 96 | 1,10 | 3.0E-03 | 2.6% | 2.30E-02 | 6.5E-04 |
| hexachlorocyclopentadiene | C5Cl6 | 273 | 60 | 4.55 | 1.2E-02 | 10.2% | 2.30E-02 | 1.1E-02 |
| hexachloroethane | C2Cl6 | 237 | 24 | 9.88 | 1.0E-02 | 8.5% | 2.30E-02 | 1.9E-02 |
| acetophenone | C8H8O | 120 | 96 | 1.25 | 5.5E-03 | 4.7% | 2.30E-02 | 1.3E-03 |
| carbonyl sulfide | cos | 60 | 12 | 5.00 | 3.9E-03 | 3.3% | 2.30E-02 | 3.8E-03 |
| carbon tetrachloride | CCI4 | 154 | 12 | 12.83 | 1.5E-03 | 1.3% | 2.30E-02 | 3.8E-03 |
| 3-carene | C10H16 | 136 | 120 | 1.13 | 1.1E-02 | 9.4% | 2.30E-02 | 2.4E-03 |
| benzaldehyde | C7H6O | 106 | 84 | 1.26 | 5.5E-03 | 4.7% | 2.30E-02 | 1.4E-03 |
| alpha-terpiniol | C10H16 | 136 | 120 | 1.13 | 3.0E-03 | 2.6% | 2.30E-02 | 6.7E-04 |
| chloromethane | CH3CI | 50.5 | 12 | 4.21 | 1.7E-03 | 1.4% | 2.30E-02 | 1.4E-03 |
| ethanol | C2H6O | 46 | 24 | 1.92 | 1.1E-02 | 9.4% | 2.30E-02 | -4.1E-03 |
| methanol | CH3OH | 32 | 12 | 2.67 | 8.0E-03 | 6.8% | 2.30E-02 | 4.2E-03 |
| naphthalene | C10H8 | 128 | 120 | 1.07 | 1.3E-02 | 11.1% | 2.30E-02 | 2.7E-03 |
| terpenes | C10H16 | 136 | 120 | 1.13 | 7.1E-03 | 6.0% | 2.30E-02 | 1.6E-03 |
| formaldehyde | НСОН | 30 | 12 | 2.50 | 1.5E-03 | 1.3% | 2.30E-02 | 7.3E-04 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Total VOC's | | | | | 1.2E-01 | 100.0% | | 5.3E-02 |

July 2010 Appendix B-1

Appendix C Monthly Production Rates

| DATE | LIME KILN CaO (tons/day) |
|--------------|-----------------------------|
| June-06 | 392 |
| July-06 | 393 |
| August-06 | 404 |
| September-06 | 389 |
| October-06 | 395 |
| November-06 | 354 |
| December-06 | 333 |
| January-07 | 392 |
| February-07 | 414 |
| March-07 | 400 |
| April-07 | 379 |
| May-07 | 216 |
| June-07 | 397 |
| July-07 | 362 |
| August-07 | 381 |
| September-07 | 402 |
| October-07 | 397 |
| November-07 | 390 |
| December-07 | 361 |
| January-08 | 420 |
| February-08 | 398 |
| March-08 | 386 |
| April-08 | 407 |
| May-08 | 400 |
| Average | 382 |
| Maximum | 420 |

July 2010 Appendix C-1

Appendix D SC LAER Applicability (Std 5.1)

| Date | Activity | Change in Emissions | Net Change in Emissions | |
|-------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 1978 | Baseline | n/a | 0 | |
| 1979 - 1982 | No Changes | 0 | 0 | |
| 1983 | No. 1 Recovery Furnace Converted to Power Boiler and New No. 3 Recovery Furnace | 15 | 15 | |
| 1984 | TR\$ System | -41 | -26 | |
| 1985 | No. 2 Recovery Furnace Converted to NDCE | -15 | -41 | |
| | No. 10 Digester (vents to Combination Boilers) | 0 | -41 | |
| 1986 | Groundwood & Old TMP Replaced by New TMP and No. 2 Paper Machine | -80 | -121 | |
| 1987 | No Changes | 0 | -121 | |
| 1988 | No. 1 Holding Basin Pump No. 1 | 3 | -118 | |
| 1989 - 1994 | No Changes | 0 | -118 | |
| 1995 | No. 1 Lime Kiln Replaced by No. 2 Lime Kiln | 1 | -117 | |
| 1996 | No Changes | 0 | -117 | |
| 1997 | Chlorine Dioxide Plant Modifications | 0 | -117 | |
| 1998 | No Changes | 0 | -117 | |
| 1999 | Condensate Collection Tank | 0 | -117 | |
| | Pulp Dryer Booster Oven | 7 | -110 | |
| | No. 1 Holding Basin Pump No. 2 | 4 | -106 | |
| 2000 | Air Make-up Units | 2 | -104 | |
| | LVHC System Replacement and Condensate Steam Stripper | -404 | -508 | |
| 2001 | Kraft Mill Replaced by New Fiberline and No. 3 Paper Machine Conversion | 7 | -501 | |
| | Aerated Stabilization Basin Pumps | 4 | -497 | |
| | Tertiary Treatment Plant Pumps | 4 | -493 | |
| 2002 | New Wet-End Starch System | 1 | -492 | |
| 2003 | No Changes | 0 | -492 | |
| 2004 | No. 3 Recovery Furnace | 1 | -491 | |
| | TMP Bleaching System | 12 | -479 | |
| 2005 | New Fiberline Optimization | 25 | -454 | |
| | White Liquor Storage Tank | 17 | -437 | |
| 2006 | Polyvinyl Alcohol Storage Tanks | 37.5 | -400 | |
| 2007 | CIO2 Plant Filtrate Separation and Recovery System | 0.44 | -399 | |
| 2010 | Lime Kiln Optimization | 0.8 | -398 | |

July 2010 Appendix D-1

Appendix E Facility-wide Emissions

| | | | 2009 |
|--|----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | Maximum | Actual |
| | | Emissions | Emissions |
| POLLUTANT | CAS# | TPY | TPY |
| Particulate matter | | 3,619.18 | 625.33 |
| Particulate matter < 10 microns | | 2,639.63 | 415.24 |
| Particulate matter < 2.5 microns | | 2,203.15 | 327.38 |
| Sulfur dioxide | 7446095 | 27,148.25 | 2,755.09 |
| Volatile organic compounds (as carbon) | 1.1.0000 | 838.94 | 516.33 |
| Volatile organic compounds (as VOC) | | 1,524.97 | 1,085.24 |
| Carbon monoxide | | 4,315.79 | 2,364.67 |
| Lead | | 0.72 | 0.18 |
| Nitrogen oxides | | 3,666.62 | 1,476.87 |
| Sulfuric acid mist | 7664939 | 923.90 | 25.02 |
| Hydrogen Sulfide | 1004000 | 22.79 | 18.94 |
| Total Reduced Sulfur (as TRS) | | 193.65 | 193.14 |
| Total Reduced Sulfur (as Sulfur) | | 129.87 | 117.42 |
| | | 137.99 | 124.76 |
| Total Reduced Sulfur (as Hydrogen Sulfide) | | 137.55 | 124.70 |
| Total 112(b) Hazardous Air Pollutants | | 1,078.16 | 838.08 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 71556 | 0.57 | 0.41 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 75343 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75354 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 79005 | 0.90 | 0.60 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 107062 | 0.60 | 0.42 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 78875 | 0.13 | 0.07 |
| 1,2-Dimethoxyethane | | 0.24 | 0.13 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 120821 | 3.90 | 3.20 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 106467 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2-Chloroacetophenone | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2-Chlorophenol | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin | 1746016 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 4-Nitrophenol | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Acetaldehyde | 75070 | 29.11 | 24.34 |
| Acetophenone | 98862 | 7.98 | 6.40 |
| Acrolein | 107028 | 0.69 | 0.48 |
| Acrylonitrile | 107131 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Aniline | 62533 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Antimony Compounds | 02000 | 0.40 | 0.01 |
| Arsenic Compounds | 1 | 0.35 | 0.04 |
| Benzene | 71432 | 2.23 | 1.26 |
| Benzyl chloride | 7.1102 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Beryllium Compounds | | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| Biphenyl | | 3.96 | 2,49 |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 117817 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| Bromoform | 1,1,517 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Bromomethane | 74839 | 0.08 | 0.05 |
| Cadmium Compounds | 7 1000 | 0.36 | 0.02 |
| | 75150 | 8.47 | 6.22 |
| Carbon disulfide Carbon tetrachloride | 56235 | 0.73 | 0.56 |
| | 463581 | 0.48 | 0.35 |
| Carbonyl sulfide | 120809 | 0.48 | 0.00 |
| Catechol Chlorine | 7782505 | 22.09 | 0.00 |

July 2010 Appendix E-1

| | | Maximum | 2009 Actual |
|-------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|
| | | Emissions | Emissions |
| POLLUTANT | CAS# | TPY | TPY |
| Chlorobenzene | 108907 | 0.38 | 0.31 |
| Chloroform | 67663 | 24.63 | 20.06 |
| Chloromethane | 74873 | 0.35 | 0.22 |
| Chromium Compounds | 74070 | 0.09 | 0.02 |
| Chromium(+6) Compounds | | 0.05 | 0.03 |
| Cobalt Compounds | | 0.48 | 0.0 |
| Cresols | 1319773 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cumene | 98828 | 13.76 | 9.7 |
| Cyanide Compounds | 00020 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Dibenzofuran | 132649 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Diethanolamine | 111422 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Dimethyl phthalate | 131113 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Dimethyl sulfate | 101110 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Ethylbenzene | 100414 | 7.81 | 6.2 |
| Ethyl chloride | 100111 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Ethylene dibromide | | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Formaldehyde | 50000 | 10.32 | 6.5 |
| Glycol Ethers | 00000 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Hexachlorobenzene | | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 77474 | 1.53 | 1.1 |
| Hexachloroethane | 67721 | 3.09 | 2.4 |
| | 7647010 | 106.57 | 54.0 |
| Hydrochloric acid Hydrofluoric acid | 7664393 | 2.47 | 0.0 |
| lodomethane | 74884 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Isophorone | 78591 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Lead Compounds | 7 655 1 | 0.78 | 0.1 |
| Manganese Compounds | | 0.59 | 0.1 |
| m-Cresol | 108394 | 2.26 | 1.7 |
| Mercury Compounds | 100394 | 0.63 | 0.0 |
| Methanol | 67561 | 788.08 | 655.0 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone | 78933 | 3.07 | 2.6 |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 108101 | 1.60 | 1.3 |
| Methyl hydrazine | 100101 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Methyl methacrylate | | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Methyl tert butyl ether | | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Methylene chloride | 75092 | 2.58 | 1.7 |
| m-Xylene | 108383 | 0.36 | 0.2 |
| Naphthalene | 91203 | 2.42 | 1.5 |
| n-Hexane | 31203 | 17.12 | 1.4 |
| Nickel Compounds | | 12.75 | 0.2 |
| o-Cresol | 95487 | 9.17 | 7.3 |
| | 95476 | 0.51 | 0.4 |
| p-Cresol | 106445 | 0.08 | 0.0 |
| Pentachlorophenol | 100443 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Phenol | 108952 | 9.03 | 6.6 |
| Phosphorus | 7723140 | 0.73 | 0.3 |
| Polycyclic organic matter | 1123140 | 0.08 | 0.0 |
| Propionaldehyde | 123386 | 6.30 | 4.3 |
| | 106423 | 0.36 | 0.2 |
| p-Xylene Selenium Compounds | 100423 | 0.36 | 0.0 |
| | 100425 | 1.35 | 1.1 |
| Styrene | 100425 | 1.35 | 1.3 |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 127184 | | 0.5 |
| Toluene | 108883 | 1.12 | 0.3 |
| Trichloroethylene | 79016 | 0.52 | 0.0 |
| Vinyl acetate | 108054 | 0.03 | 0.0 |
| Vinyl chloride | 1330207 | 0.07 | 0.4 |

July 2010 Appendix E-2

Appendix F
Construction Permit Application Forms

July 2010 Appendix F-1



Expedited Review Request Bureau of Air Quality Construction Permits



To be eligible for expedited review, the appropriate Part I and Part II Construction Permit Application Forms must be included with this sheet. Please attach this sheet to the top of the Part I form.

| Fac | ility Information |
|---|----------------------|
| Facility Name: Bowater Coated Paper Division | |
| Existing Air Permit Number (if applicable): TV | V-2440-0005 |
| Primary Permit Contact: Dale Herendeen | |
| Contact Phone No.: (803) 981 - 8009 | Alternate Phone No.: |
| Contact E-mail Address; date herendeen@abitibibowar | er com |
| Date Submitted: July 16, 2010 | |
| Contact E-mail Address; dale herendeen@abitibibowar | |

Applying for which type of permit?

| Check One | Permit Type | Fee* | | | | |
|--------------|---|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Х | Minor Source Construction Permit | \$3,000 | | | | |
| | Synthetic Minor Construction Permit | \$4,000 | | | | |
| | Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) not impacting a Class I Area (no Class I modeling required) | \$20,000 | | | | |
| | Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) impacting a Class I Area (Class I | | | | | |
| N. Walley | General Permit Program | | | | | |
| | Minor Source Construction Permit - Concrete Batch | \$1,500 | | | | |
| | Minor Source Construction Permit - Hot Mix Asphalt Plant | \$2,000 | | | | |
| | Synthetic Minor Construction Permit - Concrete Batch | \$3,000 | | | | |
| | Synthetic Minor Construction Permit Hot Mix Asphalt Plant | \$3,500 | | | | |

^{*}Do not send fee payment with this form. If chosen for expedited review, you will be notified by phone for verbal acceptance into the program. Fees must be paid via check within five (5) business days of acceptance.

If the Department is unable to contact me, please contact Will Hinson at 803) 981 - 8759

I have read the Expedited Review Program Standard Operating Procedures and accept all of the terms and conditions within. I understand that it is my responsibility to ensure an application of the highest quality is submitted in a timely manner, and to address any requests for additional information by the deadline specified. I understand that submittal of this request form is not a guarantee that expedited review will be granted.

| | July 16. 2010 |
|--|-----------------------|
| Signature of Primary Permit Contact** | Date |
| Dale Herendeen | Environmental Manager |
| Printed Name of Primary Permit Contact** **The permit is issued to the primary contact. | Title/Position |



Bureau of Air Quality Construction Permit Application Part I

Part I
Page 1 of 3
Please Refer to Instructions Before Completing This Form

| The Mark | 9994 | SM CHANOL | 13.50 |
|-------------|------|-----------|-------|
| | 60 | Kovessa | 19 |
| S LATION | 4. | 7-16-10 | F3 48 |
| 0934 | True | hoel W. | Sme (|
| N. O. | 三級 | 2 | |
| THOL | 1 | A. A.33 | 000 |

| | FACILITY | INFORMAT | ION | | \$600285an044866 |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--------------|------------------|--|
| 1. Facility Name: Bowater Coated P | aper Division | 2. Existing | Air Permi | t Number (if | applicable): 2440 - 0005 |
| Federal Identification No.: | Are you a small | business? | Y N | Primary SI | C or NAICS Code: 2611 |
| 3. Physical Address: 5300 Cureton | Ferry Road | | | | YOU THE RESERVE THE PARTY OF THE PARTY. |
| City: Catawba | VIANTAL COLUMN | County York | (| Z | ip Code:29704 |
| 4. Mailing Address (if different): Po | O Box 7 | | STANDARD | | |
| City: Catawba | | State:SC | 41.60 | | ip Code: 29704 |
| 5. Facility/Operator Contact: Mr. I | Dale Herendeen | Series September 1 | Are you th | e primary per | rmit contact? Ves No |
| Mailing Address (if different): same | Marco I lea bill by I more | | | | |
| City: | | State: | i Paril | | ip Code: |
| | ax No. () - | E-mail Add | ress:dale.h | erendeen@at | pitibibowater.com |
| | COMPANY | INFORMAT | TION | | |
| 6. Company Name: Same | | | 7 (4) (4) | | Service Control of the |
| Mailing Address (if different): | | | | The party of the | |
| City: | | State: | S MANAGE | Zip Code | |
| 7. Owner/Agent Contact: | | 02 18 | Are you th | ne primary pe | rmit contact? Yes No |
| Mailing Address (if different): | | A. The Let | N-1 | | |
| City: | SELECTION OF THE PROPERTY. | State: | 23141 | Zip Code | |
| | ax No. () - | E-mail Add | ress: | W. E. W. D. | |
| | E/CONSULTANT - ENV | IRONMENT. | AL CONT | TACT INFO | RMATION |
| 8. Name: Steven Moore | | THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE | | RS Corporat | |
| Mailing Address: 11 Brendan Way | | | | | rmit contact? ✓ Yes No |
| City: Greenville | | State:SC | Are you d | Zip Code | |
| Phone No. (864)527 -4734 F | Fax No. (864) 609 - 9069 | | ressistevet | _moore@urs | |
| Filolie No. (804)327 -4734 | FACILITY OPERA | | | | eorpieon |
| | | The state of the s | | | |
| 9. Facility Air Operating Permit S | Status: State Minor C | Jeneral Condit | tional Maj | or Conditi | onal Major 🗸 Litle V |
| Will this project result in a change in | | Permit Status | ? Yes | ✓ No | |
| If yes, status after project completion | n: | | | | TO ACCUMENTATION OF THE PARTY OF THE |
| 10. NSR Status Before Project: | | | | or Source (No | on-Attainment Area) |
| Will this project result in a change in | | Yes VN | 40 | | |
| If yes, status after project completion | | | | 0 4,9 | |
| | PURPOSE | OF APPLICA | TION | | |
| 11. Brief Narrative of Project: | | | THUR, MARIN | - ESTABLISH | |
| Modifications to the lime kiln to inc | rease throughput and reduc | e the amount o | of purchase | ed make-up li | me required. |
| | | France Carl | | 35-118-5-5 | |
| | | Source at Exi | isting Faci | lity | Unpermitted Existing Source |
| 12. Permit Application Type: | Modify Existing Source | Permit N | o. (i.eCA | ,CB):DA | Date Issued: 3/16/2006 |
| | Permit Revision | | | | |
| Does this application contain confid | lential data? Yes ✓ No | If yes, mark a | all confide | ntial material | appropriately. |
| Are you requesting this application | be eligible for expedited rev | view pilot prog | gram? 🗸 | Yes No | |
| | | NATURES | | | |
| I certify, to the best of my knowled | dge and belief, that no app | licable standa | irds and/or | regulations | will be contravened or violated. I |
| certify that any application form, re- | port, or compliance certific | ation submitte | ed in this p | ermit applica | tion is true, accurate, and complete |
| based on information and belief forr | med after reasonable inquir | y. I understan | d that any | statements ar | nd/or descriptions, which are found |
| to be incorrect, may result in the im- | mediate revocation of any p | ermit issued f | or this app | lication. | |
| 13. Owner or Operator Signature | | | | Date | |
| | | | | | |
| I have placed my signature and sea | al on the engineering docu | ments submitt | ted, signif | ying that I ha | we reviewed this application as it |
| pertains to DHEC Air Pollution Reg | gulation 61-62. | | | | |
| 14. Professional Engineer Signatu | | istration No. | | Date | |
| Michael W. Sound | 10561 | | | | 16/10 |



Bureau of Air Quality Construction Permit Application Part I

Page 2 of 3
Please Refer to Instructions Before Completing This Form

| 15 | Prior to 4 | Construc | tion/Modification | 16. After Construc | tion/Modification | | |
|---|------------|------------|--------------------|---|-------------------------|--|--|
| | Jncontrol | | Controlled | Uncontrolled | Controlled | | |
| | (tons/yea | | (tons/year) | (tons/year) | (tons/year) | | |
| Particulate Matter (PM) | 361,900 | | 3,619 | 361,900 | 3,619 | | |
| Particulate Matter < 10 Micron (PM ₁₀) | 264,000 | | 2,640 | 264,000 | 2,640 | | |
| Particulate Matter < 2.5 Micron (PM _{2.5}) | 220,300 | | 2,203 | 220,300 | 2,203 | | |
| Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂) | 27,148 | | 27,148 | 27,148 | 27,148 | | |
| Carbon Monoxide (CO) | | | 4,316 | 4,316 | 4,316 | | |
| | 4,316 | | | 3,667 | 3,667 | | |
| Nitrogen Oxides (NO _X) | 3,667 | 1000 | 3,667 | 76,250 | 1,525 | | |
| Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) | 76,250 | | 1,525 | | | | |
| Hazardous Air Pollutant – Single Greatest | 39,400 | | 788 | 39,400 | 788 | | |
| Hazardous Air Pollutants – Total | 53,900 | | 1,078 | 53,900 | 1,078 | | |
| PROJECT REC | GULATO | RY API | PLICABILITY RE | VIEW | | | |
| Regulation | Appl | icable | General Reason | Com | ments | | |
| Regulation | Yes | No | Indicator(s) | Colli | licitis | | |
| 17. South Carolina Regulation 61-62 - Ai | r Pollutio | n Contr | ol Regulations and | Standards (PROJEC | T ONLY) | | |
| Standard 1: Fuel Burning Operations | | V | C | Tundarus (17100210 | | | |
| Standard 1. Fuel Burning Operations Standard 2: Ambient Air Quality Standards | | V | Н | TV App. A modeled | emission rates highe | | |
| Standard 2: Ambient An Quanty Standards Standard 3: Waste Combustion/Reduction | | - | K | I v App. A modered | cillission races inglic | | |
| | | V | K | | | | |
| Standard 3.1: HMI Waste Incinerators | | V | | No shamasa ta aumam | tit no animo | | |
| Standard 4: Emissions from Process Industries | 1 | | L | No changes to curren | t permit requiremen | | |
| Standard 5: Volatile Organic Compounds | | V | В | D 1 4 4 51 | | | |
| Standard 5.1: BACT/LAER For VOCs | | V | Н | Project not subject to 5.1 | | | |
| Standard 5.2: Control of Oxides of Nitrogen | | V | H | Source operates NOx controls | | | |
| Standard 7: Prevention of Significant Deterioration | | V | Н | Project emissions not subject to 7 | | | |
| Standard 7(11): Minor Source Increment Analysis | | V | Н | TV App. A modeled | | | |
| Standard 7.1: Standards for Non Attainment Areas | | V | Н | Project emissions not | | | |
| Standard 8: Toxic Air Pollutants | | V | Н | Project exempt from | 8 (MACT Source) | | |
| Regulation 61-62.6: Control of Fugitive Particulate | | V | В | A 1 - 1000 A 1014 | | | |
| Matter | | | | | | | |
| Regulation 61-62.63: National Emission Standards | - | | | | | | |
| For Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) For Source | V | | L | No changes to curren | t permit requiremen | | |
| Categories | | | | | | | |
| Regulation 61-62.68: Chemical Accident Prevention | | V | В | | | | |
| Regulation 61-62.72: Acid Rain | | | В | | | | |
| Regulation 61-62.96: Nitrogen Oxides (NO _X) Budget | | V | В | The second second | | | |
| Trading Program | ш | Į V | | | Y-1-3/ | | |
| Regulation 61-62.99: Nitrogen Oxides (NO _X) Budget | n==20 | - N | | | | | |
| Program Requirements for Stationary Sources Not In | | V | В | | | | |
| the Trading Program | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| 18. Fede | ral Regu | lations (| PROJECT ONLY) | | | | |
| NSPS (Part 60) Subpart(s) | | | L | No changes to curren | t permit requiremen | | |
| | | | В | | E TRANSPORTER | | |
| | | 1 1 | L D | No abangas to assess to assess to assess to | | | |
| NESHAP (Part 61) Subpart(s) | | | | No changes to currer | t permit requiremen | | |
| NESHAP (Part 61) Subpart(s) MACT (Part 63) Subpart(s) Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) (Part 64) | ✓ ✓ | | L | No changes to currer | | | |



Bureau of Air Quality Construction Permit Application Part I

Page 3 of 3
Please Refer to Instructions Before Completing This Form

| 950 VSL P | (31,32) | 19. PART II FORMS - Indicate the number of applicable Part II Form(s) att | ached | | | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Fuel Burnin | ng Sour | ce Construction Permit Applications (Part IIA) | 0 | | | | |
| Process So | urce Co | onstruction Permit Applications (Part IIB) | 1 | | | | |
| | Incinerator Applications (Part IIC) | | | | | | |
| Asphalt Pla | | 0 | | | | | |
| | | cations (Part IIE) | 0 | | | | |
| Concrete B | atch Pl | ant Permit Applications (Part IIF) | 0 | | | | |
| Storage Ve | ssel Pe | rmit Applications (Part IIG/Part IIGa) | 0 | | | | |
| | 100 | 20. APPLICATION CHECKLIST | | | | | |
| The follow | ing iter | ns must be submitted in accordance with S.C. Regulation 61-62.1, Section II(C)(3) to be | e considered co | omplete. Be | | | |
| sure to che | ck all it | ems included in the application. | | | | | |
| Included | N/A | Item Description | Last Submitted | BAQ Verify (Office Use Only) | | | |
| | | A. A description of the facility's proposed new or altered processes, including the | | 17-41- | | | |
| / | | physical and chemical properties and feed rate of the materials used and produced | | | | | |
| | /A | (in pounds per hour), from which the facility determined potential emissions | | | | | |
| | V | B. Scaled plot plan of the facility clearly showing property boundaries, stack and | 8/26/2005 | | | | |
| | <u>\</u> | building locations, and indicating true north | 0.20.200 | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | C. Detailed narrative description of the project including the full scope of the | | | | | |
| ✓ | | project (each source installed or altered, associated control equipment, how the project affects other sources and their emissions, flow diagram/schematic of the | All in mel | | | | |
| | | | _ | | | | |
| | | process including all input and output streams) D. Project Total Emissions (Uncontrolled potential and Controlled). Attach all | | | | | |
| | | calculations including equations, emission factors, assumptions, and references | | П | | | |
| V | ш | used to estimate emissions | San | | | | |
| | | E. Regulatory applicability determination (including all emission limitations, | | <u></u> | | | |
| ✓ | | monitoring, record keeping, reporting) associated with the new or altered source(s) | COLY DENT | | | | |
| | | F. Air Dispersion Modeling Questionnaire(s) for each new or altered emission point 8/26/2005 | | | | | |
| | | G. Facility Wide Air Dispersion Modeling Analysis (see Air Dispersion Modeling | | | | | |
| | \checkmark | Guidelines for further information) | | | | | |
| | V | H. Description and estimate of fugitive emissions for the project | | | | | |
| \checkmark | П | I. A description of all air pollution control devices or systems on the new or altered | | | | | |
| | الساء | source(s), whether inherent or add-on | | | | | |
| | | J. Confidential information must be properly marked and claimed under a separate | | | | | |
| | \checkmark | cover and copies of the application suitable for public inspection must also be | | | | | |
| mi 0.11 | | submitted ns should be submitted, if applicable, in accordance with other S.C. and Federal regula | tions Do sums | ta ahaalt all | | | |
| | | ns should be submitted, if applicable, in accordance with other s.c. and rederal regula the application. | dons. De sure | to check an | | | |
| nems meru | acu III | | Last | BAQ Verify | | | |
| Included | N/A | Item Description | Submitted | (Office Use Only) | | | |
| | V | K. Any reasonably anticipated operating scenarios for the project | The printing | | | | |
| - | | L. Provide all emission data (actual emissions, baseline actual emissions, netting, | | | | | |
| ✓ | | etc.) needed to make applicability determinations for BACT/LAER (SC Regulation | X B F . X | | | | |
| | | 61-62.5, Standard 5.1) M. If BACT/LAER is applicable above, attach an appropriate BACT/LAER | | | | | |
| | ✓ | | | | | | |
| | | analysis N. All emission data (actual emissions, baseline actual emissions, netting, etc.) | | | | | |
| V | П | needed to make applicability determinations for PSD and non-attainment NSR (SC | | | | | |
| 17 | ш | Regulation 61-62.5, Standards 7 & 7.1) | | | | | |
| | V | O. If PSD or NSR is applicable above, attach an appropriate BACT/LAER analysis | B. Kris | | | | |
| | 1 | P. CAM plan, if applicable | 3/30/2004 | | | | |



Bureau of Air Quality Construction Permit Application Part IIB: Process Source Page 1 of 3

Please Refer to Instructions Before Completing This Form

| | | 1. PR | OCESS SOURCE | E INF | ORMATION | Your feet to say | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|---|--|------------------|
| Unit ID: 07 | | Permi | Number: TV- | 2440- | 0005 | File Name | : Bowater Lime | Kiln App.pdf |
| | Const | truct a new prod | ess that will no | t be p | oart of an e | existing source | | |
| | | Adding new equipment | | | | | | |
| Check all that a | | | | | sting equip | | | |
| | sou | rce | | | ment to be | | | |
| | | ✓ Other: Modifications to increase throughput and reduce purchased lime. sting Process/Equipment (including description of alteration to existing source): No. 2 Lime Kiln | | | | | | |
| Modifications to t | he lime kiln to incr | ocess/⊑quipme ease throughout a | nt (including de and reduce the ar | nount | of purchase | ed make-up lime | required. | 2 Lime Kiin |
| | tion (define proce | | | | 101 53 TE | | | STATE A SECTION |
| TAP TO YING | | 41.00 | | 0.25 | 100/A 350 | | 15 60 | |
| | ombust a waste a | s defined in Sed | ction 61-62.1? [| Ye | s ✓ No | A MANAGEMENT | 11 V 1 - V 11 - V | The State of the |
| If yes, which wa | oped with a contro | al device2 🗸 🗸 | es No (If ve | 25 CO | mplete the | information on | page 3 of this | form) |
| 15 this drift equip | ped with a contro | or device: [V] TV | 2. RAW MATER | | | THO THE BUT OF | page o or trie | |
| What is the pro | cess weight rate (| ton/hour) for the | | | | SC Regulation 6 | 1-62.12 465 | |
| What is the pro- | Materi | | E E HE E VIII E | | | ximum Quanti | | s) |
| | lime mud (C | | BENTANDER CHIEF | 1000 | | varie | | |
| tez eureta potenko | CSCOOLING TO BE | serieni de au - | | RIJAY. | A. T. IN | THE THERMS | with the same | Lacard Consell |
| array Lawrence | | | | I LUIN | | | | |
| | | | 3. PRODUC | T DAT | ΓΑ | | | T PA HI SINGS IN |
| | Produc | ets | | F | Production | n at Maximum | Rated Capaci | ty (Units) |
| | lime product | t (CaO) | | | | 465 ton | s/day | 20, 20 NO |
| | ESTOY - A MINISTRE | er e San Dals | 115-115- 30 | 100 | | T. PERLEY, LEW | | |
| To wastering | | TE TE TO | | 3.50 | | | | |
| | | 4. NEW C | R ALTERED PR | ROCE | SS SOURC | E(S) | | |
| Process/ | Equipment D | escription | Design Capa | city | | I Operating | Control | Stack/ |
| Equipment ID | (Include Make/N | lodel of Each) | (Units) | | | ghput Rate Units) | Device ID | Exhaust ID |
| 2723 | No. 2 Lin | ne Kiln | tons/day | | 133511 | 465 | 2723C2 | 2723S |
| Color dallatem | THE PARTY OF STREET | rade il la | EUS BERN | - 3 | | (Cigarous) Si | TANK TAKE | |
| | | | TIME NO. | W.F.O | W 1 | JULIA 1723 II | THE PARTY OF THE P | Mark Miles |
| | | 5. NEW OR | ALTERED FUEL | BUR | NING SOU | RCE(S) | | Name Hilling |
| | Indiana/Disease | Total Rated | Number of | Siz | e of Each | Burner Type | Equipped with a Low | If Yes, For |
| Process/ Equipment ID | Indirect/Direct Heating | Heat Input | Burners | | Burner ⁶ BTU/hr) | (Solid Fuels | NOx | Which Fuels? |
| | | (10 ⁸ BTÚ/hr) | | (10 | ALCO SECTION | Only) | Burner? | |
| 2723 | direct | 141 | , 12 ES 1 YES | 10,11 | 141 | BB CK officiality | | oil & gas |
| Problem States | | Market Market | | | | page a reveal) | <u> </u> | |
| | A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | VACUE S A V | | | | 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | | DI LA SILATE |



Bureau of Air Quality Construction Permit Application Part IIB: Process Source Page 2 of 3

Please Refer to Instructions Before Completing This Form

| Unit ID: 07 | | | Peri | mit Numbe | er: TV- | 2440-0005 | | File Name: | : Bowater Lime Kiln App.pdf |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | 2 - 13 Tab 31 | | 6. | FUEL DATA | (Inclu | de All Fuels) | No. | | |
| Process/ Equipment ID | Fuel Type a | Fuel Type and Grade | | BTU Content | | % Sulfur by Weight | | Ash by Weigl | Consumption @ Rate Capacity (Units) |
| 2723 | No. 6 Fu | No. 6 Fuel Oil | | 150,000 | | 2.5 | | 0.1% | 940 gal. per hour |
| 2723 | Natural | Gas | Gas 1,050 | | N INCOME. | idenil a bayar (ga | | nil | 134,286 cf per hour |
| | | 7. EMI | SSION | RATES AT | MAXIM | IUM RATED (| CAP | ACITY | |
| Process/ Equipment ID | Pollutant | C | AS nber | HAP, TA | P, l | Incontrolled (tons/year) | | Controlled (tons/year) | Calculation Method |
| 2723 | HAP | App | o. A | App. A | | App. A | | App. A | App. A |
| 2723 | TAP | Apj | o. A | App. A | | App. A | | App. A | App. A |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 114 X54994 238 PMILLER | | | | | 8 | | |
| | Tale and Ann | | B. OPE | RATING SC | HEDUL | E INFORMA | TIOI | NO | |
| Hours/Day: | 24 | Days/Wee | ek: | 7.4 | V | /eeks/Year: | 65 | 52 | Max Hours/Year: 8,760 |
| | | | | Seasor | nal Vai | riation | | | |
| Dec Feb. (%) |): 25 | Mar. – Ma | ay (%): | 25 | Ju | ıne – Aug. (^c | %): | 25 | Sept. – Nov. (%): 25 |

Attach sheets as necessary to provide any additional information.



Bureau of Air Quality Construction Permit Application Part IIB: Process Source Page 3 of 3

Please Refer to Instructions Before Completing This Form

9. CONTROL DEVICE INFORMATION

| | Primary Control Device | | Control Device ID: Stack/Exhaust ID: | 2723C2 2723S |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--------------------|
| Manufacturer Make and | Model: | | Type of Device: ESP | |
| Inherent to Process: | Yes ✓ No If inherent, please explain: | 1 pm 8/ 8 3/3 m | | 1711-152-148 |
| Pollutants Controlled: | | ` ' _ | PM ₁₀) Sulfur Dioxide /olatile Organic Compour | |
| Projected Capture Effic | iency: 99 % | Destruction, Control | l, or Removal Efficiency | : 99 % |
| Engineering Design and | l Operating Characteristics: | and the state of t | | |
| Manufacturer's Specific | ations and Ratings: | | | |
| Recommended Control | Device Monitoring/Data Collection (inclu | de parameters): | | |
| Recordkeeping: | | | | |
| | Secondary Control Device | | Control Device ID: Stack/Exhaust ID: | |
| Manufacturer Make and | Mode | | Type of Device: | |
| Inherent to Process: | Yes No If inherent, please explain: | | | B. The Boat to |
| Pollutants Controlled: | ` ' ' | ` ' - | PM ₁₀) | , -, |
| Projected Capture Effic | iency: % | Destruction, Contro | l, or Removal Efficiency | /: % |
| Engineering Design and | d Operating Characteristics: | | | |
| Manufacturer's Specific | ations and Ratings: | | | |
| Recommended Control | Device Monitoring/Data Collection (inclu | ide parameters): | | |
| Recordkeeping: | | | | |
| | Additional Control Device | | Control Device ID: Stack/Exhaust ID: | Complete April 201 |
| Manufacturer Make and | Model: | | Type of Device: | |
| Inherent to Process: | Yes No If inherent, please explain | | A X II - Vita III | N. R. Black Holfs |
| Pollutants Controlled: | | n Oxides (NOx) | (PM ₁₀) Sulfur Dioxide Volatile Organic Compou | |
| Projected Capture Effic | iency: % | Destruction, Contro | I, or Removal Efficiency | / : |
| Engineering Design and | d Operating Characteristics: | | | |
| Manufacturer's Specific | cations and Ratings: | | | |
| Recommended Control | Device Monitoring/Data Collection (inclu | ude parameters): | | |
| Recordkeeping: | | | | |

Appendix G
Title V Minor Modification Forms

July 2010 Appendix G-1



Title V Permit Application Facility Profile – Form A Bureau of Air Quality Page 1 of 1



Please Refer to Instruction Pages Before Completing This Form When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.

| use the re | eturn key. | | | | - |
|--|---|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| FACILI | TY INFORMATION | | | | |
| Company Name for Permit: Bowater Coated Paper Division | | 2. Existing St | tate Air Per | mit Number: | 2440-0005 |
| 3. Business Mailing Address: PO Box 7 4. C | ity: Catawba | 5. State: | SC | 6, Zip Code: | 29704- |
| 7, Plant Location (Street or Highway): 5300 Cureton Ferry Road 8. C | ity: Catawba | 9, State: | SC | 10, Zip Code: | 29704- |
| 11. County: York 12. | Primary SIC Code: 2611 | 13. NAICS C | ode: | | |
| 14, EPA (AIRS) Facility Identification No.: 15. | Latitude: | 16. Longitude | e: | | |
| 17. Date Facility Was Built: 1959 | | | | | |
| | CT INFORMATION | | US A STU | (pri ==xqiii | HEE - To |
| RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: | FINITON FINE / TECHNICAL CON | ITACT: | | A CONTRACTOR | |
| 18, Last: Forrest 19, First: Mike | 29, Last: Herendeen | | 30. First: | Dale | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 31. Title: Environmental M | | oo i nat. | Duic | |
| | | 5300 Cureton | Forny Pos | d | |
| 21. Mailing Address Line 1: 5300 Cureton Ferry Road | 32. Mailing Address Line 1: | 3300 Outeloll | i Giry IXOa | u | |
| 22. Mailing Address Line 2: | 33, Mailing Address Line 2: | 25 C+ | ala: CC | 26 Zin Codo: | 29704- |
| 23. City: Catawba 24. State: SC 25. Zip Code: 29704- | 34. City: Catawba | | ate: SC | 36,Zip Code: | 29704- |
| 26. Phone No.: (803) 981-8000 ext. 27. Fax No.: () | 37. Phone No.: (803) 981-80 | | | ax No.: () | * |
| 28, E-mail Address: | 39. E-mail Address: dale her | rendeen@abitib | olbowater.c | om | |
| I certify, to the best of my knowledge and belief, that no applicable standards and/or certification submitted in this permit application is true, accurate, and complete based descriptions which are found to be incorrect may result in the immediate revocation of any | (Submit Form SM y of the criteria air pollutants? No ollutants to Ozone are NOx and VOC) GIGNATURES regulations will be contravened or violated on information and belief formed after rea | Yes I. I certify that | t any appli y_ I unde | Gubmit Form | OF) |
| 43. Responsible Official Signature/Authorized Representative Note* For change or addition of responsible official(s) submit Resp | onsible Official (RO) Notification | Form (see | attachm | | |
| | IG FIRM INFORMATION | | | | |
| 44. Consulting Firm: URS Corporation | | | | | |
| Preparer Name: 45, Last Moore | 46. F | First Stev | en | | |
| 47, Mailing Address Line 1: 11 Brendan Way | | | | | |
| 48. Mailing Address Line 2: Suite 120 | | | | | |
| 49. City: Greenville | 50. State: SC | | 5 | 1. Zip Code: | 29615- |
| 52. Phone No.: (864) 527-4734 ext. 53. Fax No.: (864) 609-9069 | 54. E-mail Address: ste | even_moore@u | rscorp.com | 1 | |

INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL BE RETURNED



Bureau of Air Quality Title V Permit Application Form MM – Title V Minor Modification



Page 1 of 2

The South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control may modify the permit as described on this form through the procedures described in SC Regulation 61-62.70.7(e). You must apply for a minor permit modification in writing by submitting this form along with Form A. Construction permits that have been public noticed may be incorporated into the Title V permit using this form through the minor modification process. This form must be submitted to the Department at least fifteen days prior to placing the new or modified unit(s) into operation. Submit this form prior to making modifications to the facility.

Check the box to indicate which of the following is the reason(s) for requesting a minor modification and provide the requested information.

| | | stall new emission uirements to demor | | | | | will use the sa | me testing, | monitoring, record |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------|--|--|---------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| | | on unit. The modifi demonstrate compl | | | | d limitation, | and the testing, | recordkeepir | ng, monitoring and |
| 3. Ins | tall or modify a | n emission unit that | is not a | Title I modification | | | | | |
| | vise Testing, modification. | Monitoring, Record | keeping | or Reporting in a | manner that | is not requi | red by the Dep | artment to b | e processed as a |
| 62.70.7(d)(| 1)(v). (This pr | incorporate into the ocedure will be use procedure is not av | ed for pul | blic noticed const PSD and other T | ruction permits itle I modification | that establis | rements in acco th "applicable re | rdance with quirements" | SC Regulation 61- to be incorporated |
| | PER STATE | | 18 11 11 | Noti | FICATION | | | | |
| | | ange: August 20, 20 | | | | | | | |
| apply. | | affected by the mo | dification, | , including emissio | ons resulting fo | r the change | and any new ap | pplicable requ | irements that may |
| See Attach | | of the Form and the | | draft narmit inclu | dad2/Cantaat t | ha Danatma | ent for an electro | nic conv of w | our normit) |
| ☐ No | ⊠Yes | | | | | | ent for all electro | пс сору от ус | our permit) |
| 9. Include | e a hard <mark>copy</mark> c | f the permit that cle | arly indic | ates the desired o | hanges of the | permit. | | | |
| | | Table is a doc | | EQUIPMENT/EMISS femission units the | | | read modification | | |
| Emission Unit ID | Equipment ID | Equipment Desc | | Installation Date (Original, and/or Modification Date) | Modification | | Control Device | | Construction Permit ID or Exemption Date (if applicable) |
| 07 | 2723 | No. 2 Lime K | üln | 2003 | See Attac | chments | 2723C2 | 2723S | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Table is | a description | of the actual air em | issions th | | the proposed | modification. | Attach detailed | calculations | to support data. |
| Po | llutant Name | CAS N | umber | Projected Acti (TPY) After the | | (TPY) Fa | missions cility Wide odification | | Change (+/-) in issions (TPY) |
| See | e Attachments | | | | | | | | |



Bureau of Air Quality Title V Permit Application Form MM – Title V Minor Modification



Page 2 of 2

| FUEL CONSUMPTION Table is a description of fuel usage affected by the proposed modification. | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------------------------|----------|--|--|
| Type(s) of Fuel | % Sulfur | Annual Usage(specify units) | Comments | | |
| See Attachments | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| PERMIT LANGUAGE List the proposed language for revising the operating permit condition proposed to be changed. | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Cite Existing Operating Permit Condition: Proposed Language for Permit Condition: | | | | | | |
| No proposed changes | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS Cite and list any current applicable requirements that will apply or be affected by the proposed modification(s). | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Emission Unit ID | Cite Current Regulation | Emission or Operating Limitation | Cite Proposed Applicable Regulations | | | |
| 2723 | See Attachments | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Mail Completed Minor Modification Request Form and Supporting Documents to:

Engineering Services Division, Bureau of Air Quality
South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control
2600 Bull Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
and the

Local District Environmental Quality Control Office